American Scholarly Journal for Scientific Research (ASJSR)
https://asjsr.org/ Volume 2026, Issue 1004 ISSN: 3143-2999

Cinema As A Tool Of Diplomacy: The Role of Films in Shaping International Relations, Soft Power, and National Identity

Author: Devashree Arora
Institution: Modern School, Barakhamba Road, Delhi, India
Mentor: Geeti Gupta

ABSTRACT

Traditionally, diplomacy has been associated with negotiations between governments, formal treaties, political alliances, and economic cooperation. However, the globalization of cultural industries has introduced alternative instruments of international influence, among which cinema occupies a significant position. Films communicate national histories, social values, political ideologies, and cultural identities to audiences beyond territorial boundaries. Their capacity to create emotional connections and shape perceptions makes cinema an important, although sometimes unpredictable, instrument of soft power and public diplomacy.

This research paper examines the relationship between cinema and diplomacy through a comparative qualitative analysis of the United States, India, South Korea, and historical film exchanges during the Cold War. Using Joseph Nye's theory of soft power, the study investigates how films influence foreign perceptions, facilitate cross-cultural understanding, and contribute to national image-building. It also examines the risks associated with cinematic diplomacy, including propaganda, political stereotyping, cultural misrepresentation, and nationalism.

The paper argues that cinema operates most effectively as a diplomatic resource when its influence emerges through authentic storytelling, international cultural exchange, and audience engagement rather than overt political messaging. While films cannot independently transform foreign policy or resolve international disputes, they can influence the social and cultural environment within which diplomatic relationships develop.

Keywords: film diplomacy, soft power, cultural diplomacy, Bollywood, Hollywood, Korean cinema, public diplomacy, international relations, nation branding

1. INTRODUCTION

A government can negotiate a trade agreement, establish an embassy, or organize an international summit. Yet a film can introduce millions of people to another country's language, traditions, political struggles, and social values without any formal diplomatic interaction. Can a nation's international image be shaped as powerfully by the stories it tells as by the policies it pursues?

Cinema has become an important medium through which countries represent themselves to the world. Hollywood's global influence has contributed to the circulation of American cultural values, while Indian cinema has introduced international audiences to Indian languages, music, family structures, and social traditions. More recently, South Korean cinema has achieved significant global recognition, contributing to the country's international cultural visibility.

The relationship between film and diplomacy is not entirely new. During the Cold War, the United States and the Soviet Union used cultural exchanges, including cinema, to communicate with foreign audiences despite profound ideological differences (Kozovoi, 2016). Cinema engages viewers emotionally. Audiences may reject a government statement but identify with a fictional character from the same country. Films exploring family relationships, poverty, discrimination, or moral conflict can encourage recognition of shared human experiences across national boundaries.

Nevertheless, cinematic influence is not uniformly positive. Films may reinforce stereotypes, spread politically motivated narratives, or represent historical events selectively. Consequently, the diplomatic impact of cinema must be analyzed critically rather than assumed to be beneficial.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Joseph Nye's theory of soft power

Joseph Nye's concept of soft power, introduced in 1990 and developed in later scholarship, provides the theoretical foundation for this study. Soft power describes the ability to achieve desired outcomes through attraction rather than coercion or financial inducement. A nation's cultural achievements, political values, and foreign policies can become sources of influence when perceived as legitimate or attractive (Nye, 2008).

For example, a viewer of an American university drama may become interested in American educational institutions. An international viewer of an Indian film may become curious about Indian languages, music, or traditions. Yet attraction to a nation's culture does not necessarily imply support for its government or foreign policies. Film popularity demonstrates reach; diplomatic influence requires additional evidence of changed perceptions, attitudes, or behavior.

2.2 Cultural diplomacy and public diplomacy

Cultural diplomacy involves exchanges of artistic, educational, and cultural expression between nations and their populations. Public diplomacy concerns communication and engagement with foreign publics rather than only foreign governments. Cinema contributes to both practices, whether through commercially distributed entertainment or organized screening programs. Independent filmmakers, production companies, festival organizers, streaming platforms, and audiences can all participate in this decentralized process.

2.3 Research on film and international influence

Thussu (2016) examines Indian popular cinema as a resource for soft power and emphasizes the roles of globalization and overseas diaspora communities. Lee (2022) analyzes South Korea's nation branding through Parasite (2019), identifying associations between the film, South Korea, and the Korean Wave in a study of 8,808 texts. Peters (2015), examining American cinematic cultural diplomacy in Mexico, illustrates how audience interpretations are shaped by existing perceptions of American society and power. Collectively, this scholarship suggests that cultural production, international distribution, political context, and audience interpretation interact to determine a film's potential diplomatic value.

3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY

3.1 Objectives

- Examine cinema's role in shaping countries' international identities and reputations.
- Compare how different nations use films as resources for cultural diplomacy.
- Distinguish authentic cultural representation from political propaganda.
- Assess opportunities and limitations of cinematic soft power.

3.2 Methodology

This study uses qualitative, comparative case-study analysis based on secondary research. Four cases are considered: Hollywood and American public diplomacy; Indian cinema and diaspora circulation; South Korean cinema and the Korean Wave; and Soviet–American film exchanges during the Cold War. The cases are examined across cultural representation, international circulation, diplomatic engagement, and evidence of audience reception. No original survey or interview data were collected; therefore, the paper does not claim to establish a direct causal relationship between viewing particular films and changes in diplomatic behavior.

4. CASE STUDY I: HOLLYWOOD AND AMERICAN CULTURAL DIPLOMACY

4.1 Hollywood as a source of soft power

Hollywood films circulate international images of American institutions, cities, social relationships, military capabilities, and cultural aspirations. Films presenting individual ambition, innovation, freedom, and personal achievement may reinforce certain associations with the United States. Conversely, films that criticize racism, inequality, corruption, or military intervention demonstrate that cultural influence need not depend solely on national self-promotion. Artistic freedom and self-criticism may themselves become sources of credibility (Nye, 2019).

4.2 The American Film Showcase

The American Film Showcase is a cultural exchange initiative supported by the United States Department of State and administered by the University of Southern California School of Cinematic Arts. It uses documentaries, feature films, animated shorts, screenings, discussions, filmmaker engagement, and workshops to encourage dialogue with international audiences (U.S. Department of State, n.d.).

4.3 Diplomatic implications

American cinematic diplomacy illustrates indirect cultural circulation through commercial films and direct engagement through organized cultural programs. The mechanisms should not be

confused. Viewers can admire American cinema while objecting to American foreign policy; cultural popularity is not equivalent to diplomatic approval.

5. CASE STUDY II: BOLLYWOOD AND INDIA'S CULTURAL DIPLOMACY

5.1 Global cultural identity

Indian cinema provides a distinctive example of cultural influence supported by both domestic audiences and communities abroad. Films communicate language, music, festivals, family relationships, food, clothing, and social values. Thussu (2016) links the globalization of Indian popular cinema to opportunities for public diplomacy. Yet India's film landscape extends well beyond Hindi-language Bollywood, incorporating Telugu, Tamil, Malayalam, Bengali, Marathi, and many other industries. A narrow Bollywood-only account would overlook India's diversity.

5.2 Cross-cultural stories

Dangal (2016) explores wrestling, family expectations, and women's participation in sport. 3 Idiots (2009) addresses academic pressure, competition, and the tension between personal interests and social expectations. RRR (2022), a Telugu-language film, illustrates how regional filmmaking can gain broad international recognition through distinctive spectacle, choreography, music, and storytelling. These films illustrate how culturally specific narratives can connect with experiences familiar to audiences elsewhere; however, their success alone cannot demonstrate measurable diplomatic change.

5.3 The diaspora and limitations

Overseas Indian communities can act as cultural intermediaries through screenings, festivals, and shared viewing experiences. Still, popularity within diaspora communities should not be mistaken for equivalent engagement across all foreign audiences. Commercial filmmaking may simplify historical or social realities; depictions of military conflict, poverty, religion, or gender relations may reinforce stereotypes as readily as they challenge them. Diplomatic consequences depend partly on how viewers assess authenticity and fairness.

6. CASE STUDY III: SOUTH KOREA, PARASITE, AND GLOBAL RECOGNITION

6.1 The Korean Wave

The Korean Wave, or Hallyu, describes the international popularity of South Korean cultural products. Although frequently associated with pop music and television drama, film has also played a major role in expanding the country's cultural visibility. Many successes emerged from commercial and creative industries rather than direct state messaging, even as cultural institutions helped support the creative ecosystem.

6.2 Parasite and nation branding

Bong Joon-ho's Parasite (2019) examines class inequality, insecurity, and social mobility through two South Korean households. The story is specific to Korean society yet addresses economic anxieties familiar to international viewers. The film does not idealize South Korea; instead, its social criticism was part of its artistic impact. Lee (2022) found positive associations among Parasite, South Korea, and the Korean Wave in analyzed texts, suggesting that critical filmmaking can contribute to national cultural visibility without serving as national advertising.

6.3 What recognition can and cannot prove

International recognition of artistic achievement may generate cultural prestige and curiosity. It does not, by itself, prove increased support for South Korean foreign policy. Cinematic prestige and diplomatic influence are related but analytically separate outcomes.

7. CASE STUDY IV: COLD WAR CINEMA AND DIPLOMATIC EXCHANGE

The Cold War demonstrates how cinema can preserve channels of communication between ideological rivals. On January 27, 1958, the United States and Soviet Union signed the Lacy–Zarubin Agreement concerning educational, scientific, and cultural exchanges. It supported opportunities for film premieres, cinema weeks, and delegations. Kozovoi (2016) examines how film exchanges became part of the two countries' cultural relations during the Khrushchev period.

Such exchanges did not end political rivalry, but they enabled limited encounters with representations of societies otherwise described largely through ideological competition. At the same time, political disagreements and domestic pressures constrained their scope. Cinema could facilitate cultural contact without resolving strategic conflict.

8. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Case	Mechanism	Potential contribution	Principal limitation
United States	Hollywood; American Film Showcase	Cultural familiarity and dialogue	Popularity ≠ political support
India	Indian films and diaspora networks	Cultural visibility and shared stories	Stereotypes; unequal reach
South Korea	Korean Wave; Parasite	Cultural prestige and curiosity	Recognition ≠ policy influence
Cold War	Organized US–USSR film exchanges	Communication amid rivalry	Political restrictions

Table 1. Comparison of four mechanisms of cinematic diplomacy.

8.1 Five mechanisms

- Cultural visibility: films expose viewers to societies they may never visit.
- Emotional identification: universal human concerns may help connect audiences across cultural differences.
- National reputation: recognition of artists and film industries can create cultural prestige.
- International dialogue: film festivals and exchange programs create opportunities for interaction.
- Political narratives: film stories can influence how audiences understand historical and contemporary events.

The fifth mechanism also raises ethical questions: a widely circulated story is not necessarily accurate. No mechanism independently proves a direct effect on foreign policy.

9. CINEMA, PROPAGANDA, AND ETHICAL CHALLENGES

9.1 Cultural diplomacy versus propaganda

A film may facilitate mutual understanding or seek to manipulate audiences through selective representations. Government funding alone cannot establish whether a work is propaganda. More useful questions concern transparency, the availability of competing interpretations, and whether viewers are encouraged to think critically or guided toward a predetermined political conclusion.

9.2 Historical representation and bias

Historical films often condense events, combine individuals, and dramatize conflicts. Such artistic choices become problematic when fictionalized narratives are received as complete historical accounts. Stories involving wars, colonialism, borders, and communal conflict require particular scrutiny.

9.3 Nationalism and unequal access

Films can celebrate national identity and collective achievement, but hostile or one-dimensional portrayals of other societies can reinforce mistrust. At the same time, countries with strong production budgets and distribution networks possess advantages in reaching global audiences. Streaming expands access, though language, licensing, marketing, and platform choices still affect who sees which stories.

10. DISCUSSION: CAN FILMS CHANGE FOREIGN POLICY?

A film can become internationally prominent without altering a government's trade, immigration, security, or territorial policies. People may admire another country's creative industries and still disagree with its government. Cinema's influence is consequently best understood as indirect and conditional. It may shape the atmosphere in which diplomacy unfolds by affecting familiarity, cultural understanding, reputation, and public debate, but it does not guarantee policy change.

Stronger empirical evaluations would use pre-viewing and post-viewing measures, compare groups, account for participants' prior attitudes, and distinguish short-lived enthusiasm from enduring behavioral changes. Outcomes such as interest in language learning, visiting, studying

abroad, or participating in cultural exchange are more directly measurable than broad claims that film improves international relations.

11. RECOMMENDATIONS

- Prioritize authentic storytelling and artistic independence rather than exclusively favorable national imagery.
- Encourage bilateral film festivals, screenings, filmmaker exchanges, and discussion forums.
- Support regional and independent cinema to represent diverse linguistic and social perspectives.
- Pair politically sensitive historical films with educational material and opportunities for critical discussion.
- Assess diplomatic influence using audience research rather than assuming box-office revenue or awards establish political impact.

12. CONCLUSION

Cinema communicates cultural identities, political ideas, and human experiences across national boundaries. The cases of Hollywood, Indian cinema, Parasite, and Cold War exchanges demonstrate that films can contribute to cultural familiarity, dialogue, and national visibility through different pathways.

These outcomes must not be overstated. International popularity does not automatically generate diplomatic goodwill, and biased narratives may undermine cross-cultural understanding. Cinema's diplomatic significance lies less in directly determining policy than in shaping how societies perceive and communicate with one another. Films may not replace ambassadors, treaties, or negotiations, but they can influence the perceptions and relationships that make diplomacy possible.

REFERENCES

Etem, A. J. (2021). A transnational communication network promoting film diplomacy: The case of Turkey and the USA, 1950–86. Historical Journal of Film, Radio and Television, 41(2), 292–316. https://doi.org/10.1080/01439685.2020.1857924

Kozovoi, A. (2016). A foot in the door: The Lacy–Zarubin agreement and Soviet-American film diplomacy during the Khrushchev era, 1953–1963. Historical Journal of Film, Radio and Television, 36(1), 21–39. https://doi.org/10.1080/01439685.2015.1134107

Lee, S. T. (2022). Film as cultural diplomacy: South Korea's nation branding through Parasite (2019). Place Branding and Public Diplomacy, 18(2), 93–104. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41254-020-00192-1

Nye, J. S., Jr. (2008). Public diplomacy and soft power. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 616(1), 94–109. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716207311699

Nye, J. S., Jr. (2019). Soft power and public diplomacy revisited. The Hague Journal of Diplomacy, 14, 7–20.

Peters, J. J. M. (2015). American cinema as cultural diplomacy: Seeking international understanding one film at a time [Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles]. eScholarship. https://escholarship.org/uc/item/11c6g3mk

Thussu, D. K. (2016). The soft power of popular cinema – the case of India. Journal of Political Power, 9(3), 415–429. https://doi.org/10.1080/2158379X.2016.1232288

U.S. Department of State, Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs. (n.d.). American Film Showcase. https://exchanges.state.gov/us/program/american-film-showcase